## Comment Set C.122: Marcy Watton

From: HorsingRound@aol.com [mailto:HorsingRound@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 8:54 PM

**To:** Linda.Lambourne@mail.house.gov; juliannefeuerhelm@msn.com; mantonovich@lacbos.org; senator.runner@sen.ca.gov; assemblymember.runner@assembly.ca.gov; assemblymember.strickland@assembly.ca.gov; Catherine.kennedy@asm.ca.gov; L.weste@santaclarita.com; Boccio, John; mkadota@fs.fed.us; AguaDulce2006@aol.com; rgarwacki@prodigy.net; Herdem@aol.com; alicewollman@adelphia.net; ccoussoulis@earthlink.net; countryjournal@bigplanet.com; ReedTerito@aol.com; Halligan, Julie; jnoiron@fs.fed.us **Subject:** Re: Antelope-Pardee Transmission Project ALTERNATIVE 5

Dear Linda,

Allow me to speak on behalf of someone who is directly affected by Alternate 5. I (and by extension, perhaps all of us affected by Alt. 5) am not in a position to know which alternative is the best. I am NOT an environmentalist, nor an air quality consultant, nor a geologist, nor any other kind of professional that would review the alternatives for any kind of impacts.

All I know is the impact that Alternative 5 would have on ME. All I know is that Alternative 5 is inadequately studied and ill-founded in its suggestion that my home be torn down or my property taken or that I live right next to what will be the largest most dangerous kind of powerline corridor used by SCE.

C.122-1

It is not my duty to designate which alternative is preferred. Perhaps the other routes are under studied as well. I don't know. I will merely comment on the shortcomings of Alternate 5 because that is the one that I DO KNOW what is wrong with it: it TAKES my home without justification. It takes my home when even though other routes are cheaper to the ratepayers. It takes my home even though no studies have been done to show the impacts on my life or my community. It takes my home for no good reason that I can see.

Sincerely, Marcy Watton, resident of Leona Valley

## Response to Comment Set C.122: Marcy Watton

C.122-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion regarding Alternative 5. Your concern will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC. As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. However, given that SCE has not conducted construction or final alignment and design studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes may occur. Please also see General Response GR-1 regarding the effect of the Project on property values, and General Response GR-2 regarding property acquisition.